



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,497	04/11/2001	Robert S. Behl	16807001530	1252
20350 ·	7590 11/03/2003		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			VRETTAKOS, PETER J	
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/832,497	BEHL ET AL.	
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J Vrettakos	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Sec	е МРЕР
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Offi	iate extension ce action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpl	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed am	endment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were no	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • •		an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · ·		
	My PP	NCHAEL PEFFLEY RICHAEL PEFFLEY RIMARY EXAMINER	<u>-</u>

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Element 502 includes the shaded disk in figure 11, which has a flat face that can be placed on the tissue surface (S) over the target area. Further, the applicant's dimensions if optimal would have been determined through routine experimentation.